



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,769	08/17/2006	Ladislav Cvak	TEVGAL 3.3-020	2277
530	7590	05/05/2009	EXAMINER	
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUHMOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			MABRY, JOHN	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			1625
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
05/05/2009	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/589,769	CVAK ET AL.	
	Examiner JOHN MABRY	Art Unit 1625	

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _____

(1) JOHN MABRY. (3) _____.

(2) ECKENTHAL, AARON. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 May 2009 **Time:** 2:20 pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

n/a

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/John Mabry/
Examiner, Art Unit 1625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
POTENTIAL ABANDONMENT FOR FAILURE TO RESPOND - Examiner and Attny Aaron Eckenthal discussed via telephone if Applicant sent a Response to a Final Rejection mailed on September 25, 2008. Attny Eckenthal informed Examiner that Applicant did not send a Response because Applicant intends to abandon Application 10/589,769, filed on August 17, 2006.